From: Colefarm

Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2003 4:07 PM

**To:** Gina Bartlett

Subject: Bulleting 160- Sacramento Hydrologic Region comments

## Gina.

I am unsure if I will be able to attend tomorrow. Please add these comments to the process re. Sacramento hydrologic region. I sent a separate email to both you and Rich Juricich with comments on the Water Transfer Section. I count on your help in making sure that both topics get consideration. I wish I could be sure that I would be there so I didn't need to burden you with this request.

Thank you again for all your help over these last two years.

Linda Cole

## pg 4-50

para 2 last sentence. The SWP primarily develops urban water supply from the Feather River watershed for use in the region and for export to other regions.....

I was under the impression that the major use of SWP developed water for this region was AGRICULTURE...in fact the settlement contractors. The large urban water supply contractors are South of our region.

pg 4-52 Looking to the future.

Please include the concern over the multiple water acquisition programs which do not have any study of cumulative effects on the same water budget. Though the acquisitions may be administered by different entities they come from the same aquifer system.

## Pg. 4-53

First full paragraph ending with: Since the Sacramento River system potentially is the mayor source of future water transfers, the region will probably experience more water transfer activities. ADD which can potentially change the contributions to the Sacramento River flows by reducing contributions and by inducing recharge from the river to respond to the groundwater over-drafting during the summer/ fall agricultural season.

Second full paragraph ending with: From the standpoint of overall basin management, increasing use of groundwater will come partially at the expense of depleting existing surface supplies. ADD and at the expense of accessing groundwater for private well owners on overlying lands. (an EJ issue)